submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, **Employment Standards Administration**, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue N.W., Room S-3014, Washington, D.C. 20210.

#### Withdrawn General Wage **Determination Decision**

This is to advise all interested parties that the Department of Labor is withdrawing, from the date of this notice, General Wage Determination No. ME950038, dated Feb. 10, 1995.

Agencies with construction pending, to which this wage decision would have been applicable, should utilize Wage Decision ME950037. Contracts for which bids have been opened shall not be affected by this notice. Also, consistent with 29 CFR 1.6(c)(2)(i)(A), when the opening of bids is less than ten (10) days from the date of this notice, this action shall be effective unless the agency finds that there is insufficient time to notify bidders of the change and the finding is documented in the contract file.

#### **New General Wage Determination** Decisions

The number of the decisions added to the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts" are listed by Volume and State:

#### Volume III

#### Tennessee

TN950057 (Mar. 17, 1995) TN950058 (Mar. 17, 1995) TN950059 (Mar. 17, 1995)

TN950060 (Mar. 17, 1995)

#### **Modification to General Wage Determination Decisions**

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the Federal Register are in parenthesis following the decisions being modified.

#### Volume I

New York

NY950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) NY950021 (Feb. 10, 1995)

NY950060 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### Volume II

#### Pennsylvania

PA950042 (Feb. 10, 1995) PA950064 (Feb. 10, 1995) PA950065 (Feb. 10, 1995)

West Virginia

WV950006 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### Volume III

#### Alabama

AL950004 (Feb. 10, 1995) AL950006 (Feb. 10, 1995) AL950018 (Feb. 10, 1995) AL950034 (Feb. 10, 1995) AL950044 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Florida

FL950008 (Feb. 10, 1995) FL950045 (Feb. 10, 1995) FL950077 (Feb. 10, 1995) FL950095 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Georgia

GA950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950022 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950040 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950050 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950058 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950065 (Feb. 10, 1995) GA950066 (Feb. 10, 1995)

North Carolina NC950050 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Tennessee

TN950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) TN950005 (Feb. 10, 1995) TN950016 (Feb. 10, 1995) TN950017 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### Volume IV

# Illinois

IL950001 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950002 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950003 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950004 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950005 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950006 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950007 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950008 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950009 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950011 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950012 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950013 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950014 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950015 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950016 (Feb. 10, 1995) IL950017 (Feb. 10, 1995)

IL950020 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Indiana

IN950001 (Feb. 10, 1995) IN950005 (Feb. 10, 1995) IN950006 (Feb. 10, 1995)

Michigan

MI950035 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### Volume V

# Kansas

KS950005 (Feb. 10, 1995) KS950014 (Feb. 10, 1995) KS950029 (Feb. 10, 1995) KS950066 (Feb. 10, 1995) Nebraska

NE950009 (Feb. 10, 1995) NE950011 (Feb. 10, 1995)

TX950063 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### Volume VI

### California

CA950001 (Feb. 10, 1995) CA950002 (Feb. 10, 1995) CA950004 (Feb. 10, 1995) CA950027 (Feb. 10, 1995) North Dakota ND950002 (Feb. 10, 1995)

ND950026 (Feb. 10, 1995) ND950049 (Feb. 10, 1995) ND950050 (Feb. 10, 1995)

#### **General Wage Determination Publication**

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487-4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of March 1995.

## Alan L. Moss,

Director, Division of Wage Determinations. [FR Doc. 95-6442 Filed 3-16-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

#### **Employment and Training** Administration

[TA-W-30,224, TA-W-30,370]

#### Apollo Dye, Paterson, NJ and Leader Dye & Finishing, Paterson, NJ; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

On March 7, 1995, the Department, on its own motion, reopened its investigation for the former workers of the subject firms.

The initial investigation resulted in negative determinations on November 28, 1994 for workers at both firms because the "contributed importantly" test of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act was not met. The denial notices were published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1994 (59 FR 65076).

The findings show that Apollo Dye is the parent company of Leader Dye & Finishing. Both firms are engaged in producing printed fabric and had integrated production.

A late response to the Department's customer survey shows that a major account reduced its business with Apollo Dye because of increased imports of printed dyed fabric.

Other findings show that U.S. imports increased absolutely and relative to domestic shipments in 1993 compared to 1992 and in the first six months of 1994 compared to the same period in 1993. The ratio of imports to U.S. production reached 143 percent in 1994.

Other findings show that the both plants closed in July 1994 when all production ceased and all workers were laid off.

#### Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with the printed dyed fabric produced by the subject firms contributed importantly to the decline in production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firms. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

All former workers of Apollo Dye, Paterson, New Jersey and its subsidiary, Leader Dye & Finishing, Paterson, New Jersey who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 1, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 8th day of March 1995.

#### Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–6652 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### [TA-W-30,505]

#### Cushman Industries, Inc., Hartford, CT; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

On February 8, 1995, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance for workers at the subject firm. The Department's Negative

Determination was issued on January 20, 1995 and was published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 1995 (60 FR 8061).

New findings show from the company show company imports of chucks from China.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of March 1995.

#### Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–6657 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### [TA-W-30,730]

#### Lightolier Model Shop of the Genlyte Group, Secaucus, NJ; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18 an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Lightolier Model shop of the Genlyte Group, Secaucus, New Jersey. The review indicated that the application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-30,730; Lightolier Model Shop of the Genlyte Group Secaucus, New Jersey (March 7, 1995)

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 9th day of March, 1995.

#### Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–6654 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

#### [TA-W-30,366]

# H & R Blocks, Forks, WA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

On March 8, 1995, the Department, on its own motion, reopened its investigation for the former workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination on November 22, 1994 for workers at the subject firm. The denial notice was published in the

**Federal Register** on December 16, 1994 (59 FR 65076).

The findings show that H & R Blocks had reduced sales in 1993 compared to 1992 and all workers were laid off on December 31, 1993. New findings show a late response indicating that the subject firm's sole customer had increased its reliance on imports in 1994 from 1993 while decreasing its purchases from the subject firm.

#### Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with cedar shakes and shingles produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to the decline in sales and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

All former workers of H & R Blocks in Forks, Washington who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 20, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of March 1995.

#### Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–6653 Filed 3–16–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

# Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such